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The ICCAT-GBYP was started on March 2010

It is funded by the European Community (up to a maximum of 80%), Algeria, Canada, China, Croatia, Japan, Korea, Libya, Morocco, Norway, Tunisia, Turkey, United States of America, plus Chinese Taipei and ICCAT.

In addition to these funds, some private entities provided additional funds or in kind support.

The programme, in its current format, has a budget set at about 19,000,000 € for 6 years

(but we are experiencing several and severe budgeted shortcuts and we should possibly revise the programme very soon)
The Commission in 2009 set a precise list of research priority actions for the GBYP:

1) Data mining and data recovery (carried out since 2010 on)
2) Aerial survey (carried out in 2010 and 2011)
3) Biological and genetic sampling and analyses (initiated in 2011 and continued in 2012)
4) Tagging (conventional and electronic) (carried out since 2011 on)
5) New modelling approaches (initiated in 2011).

Larval surveys were also included at a lower priority in the original plan adopted by SCRS.

The GBYP is currently directed by a Steering Committee (Jean-Marc Fromentin, Driss Meski, Clay Porch, Josu Santiago and Tom Polackeck).

A GBYP web page is available and regularly updated within the ICCAT site  [http://www.iccat.int/GBYP/en](http://www.iccat.int/GBYP/en)
a) Conventional tagging (medium-long term objectives): obtaining population parameters (i.e.: M) for improving those currently assumed in the models, estimation of growth, estimation of tag reporting rates by fishery and areas, validation of current stock definition and mixing rate, estimation of retention rate of various tag anchors.

b) PITs (short-medium term objectives): suspended.

c) miniPATs (short-term objectives): calibration of aerial survey on spawning aggregations, use of habitat, detailed individual distribution.

d) Internal archival tags (medium-long time objectives): biological and behavioural information, individual distribution.

e) Tag awareness, reporting and reward campaign (short-long term objective): improving the recovery and reporting rates.
THE ICCAT-GBYP TAGGING PROGRAMME

The ICCAT-GBYP Tagging Design and the ICCAT-GBYP Tagging Manual were prepared in 2010, with the purpose to carry out an extensive tagging activity in the following years of the Programme.

Two ICCAT-GBYP Operational Meetings on Tagging were held in 2011 and 2012, with many scientists, for better focusing the activities and the procedures.
THE ICCAT-GBYP TAGGING PROGRAMME

The first year of tagging activity (2011), carried out by a Spanish Consortium with 6 entities using BB & PS, had several operational difficulties and the tagging field strategy (PS & BB) showed some limits.

A total of 3,578 BFT were tagged, deploying 4,950 conventional tags (with 38.9% double tagging).

1,278 BFT were tagged in the Bay of Biscay
1,389 in the Strait of Gibraltar
911 in the Western Mediterranean Sea
0 in the Central Mediterranean Sea

An additional complementary tagging (several hundred tags) was carried out by sport fishermen in some areas.
ICCAT-GBYP TAGGING PROGRAMME 2012

The Steering Committee recommended adopting a different strategy in 2012, using exclusively baitboat vessels in all areas.

A new Spanish Consortium with 9 entities is carrying out the activity in 2012, using 7 vessels: 1 in the Bay of Biscay, 3 (now 5) in the Strait of Gibraltar, 2 in the western Mediterranean and 1 in the central Mediterranean.

The target is to tag 11,750 bft of age 1 and 2, deploying also 50 internal archival tags and 40 miniPATs.
A total of 3,411 BFT were conventionally tagged in the Bay of Biscay (with 41% double tagging).

Tagging in other areas is experiencing several problems, mostly due to the low presence of age 1 and 2 classes at the surface.

111 BFT were tagged in one month in the Western Mediterranean Sea and 97 in the Central Mediterranean in 20 days and these activities were suspended.

Tagging in the Strait of Gibraltar is ongoing.
We encountered many problems:

a. Need to apply for the permits with a long delay, incompatible with the ICCAT schedule;

b. Difficulties and delays for the permits to operate in marine areas different from the flag state of the vessels,

c. Impossibility for accessing some areas where it was important to carry out the tagging, due to difficult situations in coastal countries,

d. Difficulties and high costs for entering into some harbours, even if the CPC concerned provided official support.
Further field problems we encountered are possibly due to several reasons:

a) The different behaviour of age 1 and age 2 bft in the Mediterranean compared to the schooling behaviour in the Bay of Biscay;

b) The low available knowledge about the behaviour of age 1 and age 2 classes all year round;

c) The extreme climate situation in the Mediterranean area in 2012 (this year was among the four hottest in the last two centuries);

d) The low availability of live fish bait of the right size in some areas at the right time;

e) The poor knowledge of the Mediterranean area by Bask fishermen (even if their tagging experience is remarkable!);

f) and something else we don’t understand so far!

But, for sure, we have been not so lucky!
13 internal archival tags were implanted so far on bluefin tunas in the Bay of Biscay in 2012 and 1 in the Gibraltar area.

14 miniPATs were deployed on bluefin tunas in the Bay of Biscay in 2012 (1 tag had already a premature detachment in the same area) and 2 were deployed in the western Mediterranean.

Two conventional tags deployed in 2011 in the Bay of Biscay on age 1 BFT were recovered in New Jersey (USA) in summer 2012.

A total of 15 conventional tags were recovered last year.
Even if the details shall be defined later, the plan is to continue the conventional tagging activities, starting scientific recapture trials in some areas.

The strategy will be defined after the conclusion of the 2012 activities, taking into account experiences, opportunities and constraints.
TAGGING PRE-SPAWNERS IN MOROCCAN ATLANTIC TRAPS

For better calibrating (time at the surface) the aerial survey data of spawning aggregations, it was decided to carry out an experimental electronic tagging activity on pre-spawners, before their entrance into the Mediterranean Sea.

A cooperative agreement was set under the GBYP umbrella, among the Moroccan Fishery Authority, the tuna trap industries, the tuna traders, the INRH, the IEO and the WWF-MedPO.
TAGGING PRE-SPAWNERS IN MOROCCAN ATLANTIC TRAPS
A total of 34 bluefin tunas were tagged by miniPATs in 2011 and 2012, providing unexpected and very interesting results. In 2011 some tunas did not enter into the Mediterranean sea for spawning!
In 2012, again, others bluefin tunas did not enter into the Mediterranean sea for spawning. An old interesting question: are they going close to the Azores or the Canary Isles also for spawning?
THE ICCAT-GBYP TAGGING IN MOROCCO (2012)

Other tunas regularly spawned into the Mediterranean and one individual, after spawning, went to the Norwegian Sea, where bft was absent since decades.

The preliminary results are reported on SCRS/2012/143
As usual, all tagging data are recorded and stored in the ICCAT tag data base, which is public and available on the ICCAT web site.

And now we are starting a tag data quality control, also on the historical BFT tag data base.
FURTHERMORE, WE ARE WORKING ON NATURAL MARKS!

Some medium-giant BFT have clear natural marks, circular scares made by the smalltooth cookiecutter shark (*Isistius brasiliensis*). This is known since more than one century, but now all the contractors and the ICCAT observers have the duty to report them. These marks may possibly help in understanding the distribution of the BFT in South Atlantic.
GBYP also launched an international awareness and reward campaign, with an associated strategy. The logo, the slogan and the posters were printed in 12 languages and disseminated everywhere.
The reward campaign includes T-shirts, 50 € ordinary reward, special annual rewards added to the usual ICCAT lottery (1000 € for the 1\textsuperscript{st}, and 2 of 500 €), 1000 € for electronic tags and full information to the tag reporter.
A tag recovery and reporting programme needs attractive incentives!

Now the reporting rate is slowly improving
THE ICCAT-GBYP TAG AWARENESS PROGRAMME

The posters and the information on the tag awareness campaign are available on http://www.iccat.int/GBYP/en/AwCamp.asp
THE ICCAT-GBYP TAG AWARENESS PROGRAMME

We set a voluntary network of national scientists (most of them are scientists attending SCRS meetings), helping the national capacity building skill in this sector, and now they are helping in tag recovery and reporting and they are constantly in touch with GBYP.

Furthermore, we are supporting, as much as possible, articles on newspapers, magazines and web-sites for informing about the GBYP tagging programme. Several articles have been published so far.
THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK

The Commission, in 2011, adopted the Rec. 11-06, allowing GBYP to use a dedicated Research Mortality Allowance, setting also specific rules for conducting the research (tagging and biological sampling) in terms of gears and tools, but also allowing activities to be conducted outside the fishing closure. A maximum of 20 tons of bluefin tuna can be taken annually for research purposes and of course they cannot be traded. Special RMA certificates are issued by ICCAT in real time, for tracking all fish used for research. Any additional mortality caused by GBYP research activities is transparently included in the ICCAT BFT statistics.
WE ARE TRYING TO FIND MORE PIECES OF THE BFT PUZZLE!
THANKS!
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